e-ISSN (online): 2745-9497

Journal of Anesthesiology and Clinical Research (JACR)

Journal website: https://hmpublisher.com/index.php/jacr

Airway Challenges and Solutions in Tracheal Reconstruction Surgery: A Case Report of Severe Post-Tracheostomy Stenosis

Adiptya Cahya Mahendra[1*], Purwoko[2]

[1]Resident of the Anesthesiology and Intensive Therapy Department, Dr. Moewardi Regional General Hospital/Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Sebelas Maret, Surakarta, Indonesia

[2]Staff of the Anesthesiology and Intensive Therapy Department, Dr. Moewardi Regional General Hospital/Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Sebelas Maret, Surakarta, Indonesia

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords:
Airway management
Anesthesia
Case report
Tracheal reconstruction
Tracheal stenosis

***Corresponding author:**
Adiptya Cahya Mahendra

E-mail address:
adiptyacahya@gmail.com

All authors have reviewed and approved the final version of the manuscript.

https://doi.org/10.37275/jacr.v6i1.679

A B S T R A C T

Introduction: Tracheal stenosis, a narrowing of the trachea, can pose significant challenges for airway management, especially during tracheal reconstruction surgery. This case report presents the successful management of a complex airway in a patient with severe post-tracheostomy tracheal stenosis. **Case presentation:** A 28-year-old male presented with severe tracheal stenosis following a tracheostomy two years prior. The patient was scheduled for tracheal reconstruction surgery. Intraoperatively, the initial challenge was the poor patency of the existing tracheostomy tube, necessitating its replacement with an uncuffed endotracheal tube (ETT). Further airway challenges arose during the stenting procedure, requiring innovative solutions to maintain airway patency while facilitating surgical access. **Conclusion:** This case highlights the critical role of flexible and innovative airway management techniques in tracheal reconstruction surgery. Meticulous planning, close collaboration between the surgical and anesthesia teams, and the ability to adapt to unexpected intraoperative challenges are essential for successful outcomes in these complex cases.

1. Introduction

Tracheal stenosis, a debilitating condition characterized by the narrowing of the tracheal lumen, presents a formidable challenge in the realm of respiratory medicine. This constriction of the airway can significantly impede airflow, leading to a cascade of respiratory symptoms and potentially life-threatening complications. The etiology of tracheal stenosis is diverse, encompassing a spectrum of causes that range from acquired to congenital. Acquired tracheal stenosis, the most prevalent form of this condition, arises from a variety of insults to the tracheal wall. Prolonged endotracheal intubation, a cornerstone of modern critical care, has emerged as a leading cause of tracheal stenosis. The prolonged presence of an endotracheal tube within the delicate tracheal lumen can exert undue pressure on the tracheal mucosa, leading to inflammation, ischemia, and ultimately, scarring. The resultant scar tissue contracts over time, constricting the tracheal lumen and compromising airflow. Tracheostomy, another indispensable life-saving procedure, can also precipitate tracheal stenosis. The

surgical creation of a tracheostomy stoma, while often necessary to secure an airway, can disrupt the structural integrity of the trachea. The healing process, while essential for tissue repair, can lead to the formation of granulation tissue and scar tissue, which can encroach upon the tracheal lumen and cause stenosis.[1-3]

In addition to these iatrogenic causes, tracheal stenosis can also stem from external trauma to the neck or chest. Blunt or penetrating injuries can directly damage the tracheal cartilage and mucosa, initiating a cascade of inflammation and scarring that culminates in stenosis. Furthermore, inflammatory diseases, such as Wegener's granulomatosis and relapsing polychondritis, can also lead to tracheal stenosis by inciting chronic inflammation and scarring within the tracheal wall. Congenital tracheal stenosis, though less common than its acquired counterpart, presents a unique set of challenges. This form of tracheal stenosis arises from errors in tracheal development during embryogenesis, resulting in a congenitally narrowed tracheal lumen. The severity of congenital tracheal stenosis can vary widely, from mild cases that may remain asymptomatic to severe cases that can cause life-threatening respiratory distress in the neonatal period. The clinical presentation of tracheal stenosis is as diverse as its etiology. Patients with mild stenosis may remain asymptomatic, with the condition only being discovered incidentally during imaging studies. However, as the stenosis progresses, patients may begin to experience a constellation of respiratory symptoms, including dyspnea, wheezing, stridor, and recurrent respiratory infections. In severe cases, tracheal stenosis can lead to respiratory failure, requiring urgent intervention to secure the airway.[4-6]

The diagnosis of tracheal stenosis hinges on a combination of clinical suspicion and radiographic confirmation. Pulmonary function tests can provide objective evidence of airflow limitation, while imaging studies, such as computed tomography (CT) scans and bronchoscopy, can visualize the tracheal lumen and assess the severity of the stenosis. The management of tracheal stenosis is tailored to the individual patient, taking into account the severity of the stenosis, the underlying etiology, and the patient's overall health status. Conservative measures, such as humidification and tracheal dilation, may suffice for mild cases. However, for more severe stenosis, surgical intervention is often necessary to restore adequate airway patency. Tracheal reconstruction surgery, while offering the potential for definitive treatment, is a complex and technically demanding procedure. The intricate anatomy of the trachea, its proximity to vital structures, and the challenges of maintaining airway patency during the operation all contribute to the complexity of this surgery. Anesthesiologists play a critical role in the perioperative management of patients undergoing tracheal reconstruction surgery. Maintaining adequate ventilation and oxygenation while facilitating surgical access to the trachea requires a high degree of skill and vigilance. Advanced airway management techniques, such as fiberoptic intubation, jet ventilation, and extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (ECMO), are often employed to ensure patient safety and optimize surgical outcomes.[7-10] In this case report, we present the successful management of a complex airway in a patient with severe post-tracheostomy tracheal stenosis undergoing tracheal reconstruction surgery.

2. Case Presentation

This case report details the management of a 28-year-old male who presented with post-tracheostomy tracheal stenosis, a condition characterized by the narrowing of the trachea following a tracheostomy procedure. The patient's medical history revealed that the tracheostomy was performed two years prior as a life-saving intervention following a motorcycle accident that resulted in tracheal injury. The initial injury was sustained due to entanglement with a cable, underscoring the potential for external trauma to cause significant airway compromise. Upon presentation, the patient's primary complaint was discomfort at the tracheostomy tube insertion site. This discomfort, while not acutely distressing, prompted the patient to seek medical attention. Notably, the patient did not report any respiratory distress, dyspnea, or difficulty breathing, suggesting that the tracheal stenosis had not yet progressed to a critical degree. Further investigation into the patient's medical history revealed no significant comorbidities such as hypertension, diabetes mellitus,

or asthma. The absence of these conditions is noteworthy as they can often complicate airway management and increase the risk of perioperative complications. Additionally, the patient denied any history of allergies, a crucial piece of information for anesthesiologists as it helps to guide the selection of anesthetic agents and minimize the risk of allergic reactions. A comprehensive physical examination revealed that the patient's vital signs were within normal limits. This included blood pressure (120/80 mmHg), heart rate (80 bpm), respiratory rate (16 breaths/min), temperature (36.5°C), and oxygen saturation (98% on room air). These stable vital signs provided reassurance that the patient was not in acute respiratory distress and was physiologically stable for further evaluation and intervention. The patient's airway assessment revealed patent nostrils, adequate mouth opening, and a Mallampati class II. These findings indicated that the patient's upper airway anatomy was favorable for intubation, should it become necessary. The tracheostomy tube in situ was assessed for any signs of infection or obstruction, and none were observed. The patient's overall physical health was also evaluated, with a body mass index (BMI) of 22 kg/m² indicating a healthy weight. This is an important consideration as obesity can pose additional challenges during airway management and increase the risk of complications. A series of laboratory investigations were conducted to assess the patient's overall health and identify any potential factors that could influence the management of his condition. All hematological and biochemical parameters were within normal limits, including hemoglobin, hematocrit, leukocyte count, platelet count, coagulation profile, blood type, urea, creatinine, electrolytes, and blood glucose. The absence of any abnormalities in these parameters provided further evidence of the patient's overall physiological stability. Additionally, the patient tested negative for hepatitis B surface antigen (HBsAg), ruling out active hepatitis B infection. This is a crucial consideration as hepatitis B can have implications for infection control measures during surgical procedures. Imaging studies played a pivotal role in confirming the diagnosis and assessing the severity of the tracheal stenosis. A chest X-ray revealed a defect in the tracheostomy tube at the level of the seventh cervical vertebra (VC 7), with the distal end of the tube protruding as high as the third thoracic vertebra (VTh 3). This finding suggested that the tracheostomy tube was not optimally positioned and may have contributed to the development of tracheal stenosis. A non-contrast computed tomography (CT) scan of the neck and chest provided a more detailed visualization of the tracheal anatomy. The scan revealed an irregular density mass with irregular borders in the soft tissue of the trachea, protruding at the level of the fifth and sixth cervical vertebrae (VC 5-6). This mass was causing significant intraluminal tracheal stenosis, with the narrowest diameter measuring only 3.3 mm. This severe stenosis explained the patient's discomfort and highlighted the need for surgical intervention to restore adequate airway patency. The CT scan also revealed a 1.5 cm long soft tissue hematoma and bilateral cricoid cartilage damage at the levels of the fourth and sixth cervical vertebrae (VC 4 and VC 6). These findings suggested that the initial tracheal injury sustained during the motorcycle accident was extensive and involved multiple structures within the airway. Based on the comprehensive clinical evaluation, including the patient's history, physical examination, laboratory investigations, and imaging studies, a diagnosis of post-tracheostomy tracheal stenosis was established. The patient's American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA) Physical Status was classified as II, indicating a patient with mild systemic disease. This classification system helps to assess the patient's overall health and stratify the risk of perioperative complications (Table 1).

The patient's severe post-tracheostomy tracheal stenosis necessitated surgical intervention to restore adequate airway patency and alleviate his symptoms. The surgical procedure, a complex tracheal reconstruction, was meticulously planned and executed under general anesthesia. Prior to the induction of anesthesia, a thorough assessment of the existing tracheostomy tube's patency was conducted. This crucial step ensured that the airway was secure and that ventilation could be maintained throughout the procedure. Given the potential for difficulties with the existing tracheostomy tube, preparations were made for its replacement, including having an appropriately

sized uncuffed endotracheal tube (ETT) readily available. To optimize patient comfort and minimize postoperative pain, a multimodal analgesia strategy was implemented. This involved the administration of intravenous (IV) fentanyl (1 mcg/kg), topical lidocaine spray within the tracheal tube, and a paracetamol infusion (1000 mg). These agents target different pain pathways, providing a synergistic analgesic effect while minimizing the reliance on any single drug. Mild sedation was achieved with IV dexmedetomidine (0.3 mcg/kg/hour), a selective alpha-2 adrenergic agonist known for its sedative, anxiolytic, and analgesic properties. Dexmedetomidine provides a unique advantage in airway management as it offers sedation without causing respiratory depression, making it an ideal choice for patients with compromised airways. The existing tracheostomy tube was then replaced with a new tracheostomy tube using a guidewire-assisted technique. This ensured a smooth and atraumatic exchange, minimizing the risk of airway complications. The new tracheostomy tube was connected to the breathing circuit, and the tracheal balloon was inflated to maintain airway patency and prevent aspiration. With the airway secured and the patient adequately preoxygenated, anesthesia was induced with IV midazolam (0.1 mg/kg) to deepen sedation. Sevoflurane gas inhalation (1.5 vol%) was then commenced to maintain anesthesia throughout the procedure. Sevoflurane, a volatile anesthetic agent, provides rapid induction and emergence from anesthesia with minimal cardiovascular side effects, making it a suitable choice for this patient. To facilitate surgical access to the trachea and prevent patient movement during the delicate surgical procedure, neuromuscular blockade was achieved with IV recuronium (0.8 mg/kg). Recuronium, a non-depolarizing neuromuscular blocking agent, provides profound muscle relaxation, allowing for optimal surgical conditions. The surgical team commenced the tracheal reconstruction with a sagittal incision extending from the cricoid region to just above the tracheostomy tube cannula. This incision provided access to the stenotic segment of the trachea, allowing for careful dissection and release of the constricting scar tissue. A stent was prepared for placement to maintain tracheal patency following the reconstruction. The stent, measuring approximately 7 cm in length, was carefully positioned to span the area from the cricoid cartilage to the upper edge of the tracheostomy incision site. The upper end of the stent was secured in the cricoid region, while the lower end was initially secured with a neck ETT in place. To ensure proper positioning and function of the stent, the intraoral ETT was then advanced through the stented trachea. The correct placement of the ETT was confirmed via auscultation, ensuring bilateral breath sounds and the absence of any airway obstruction. Following the successful completion of the tracheal reconstruction, the patient was transferred to the intensive care unit (ICU) for close monitoring and postoperative care. Analgesia was continued with IV paracetamol and a continuous dexmedetomidine infusion, providing effective pain relief and minimizing the risk of respiratory depression. This detailed account of the tracheal reconstruction procedure under general anesthesia highlights the meticulous planning and execution required for successful airway management in patients with complex tracheal stenosis. The multidisciplinary team approach, involving anesthesiologists, surgeons, and intensivists, ensured that the patient received optimal care throughout the perioperative period (Table 2).

The intricate nature of tracheal reconstruction surgery presents unique challenges for airway management. The proximity of the surgical field to the airway itself necessitates a delicate balance between maintaining adequate ventilation and oxygenation while providing the surgical team with unobstructed access to the trachea. This case presented several specific challenges that required innovative solutions to ensure both patient safety and surgical success. The first challenge arose from the proximity of the stent placement to the tracheostomy incision site. The existing tracheostomy tube, while essential for maintaining ventilation, posed a significant obstacle to the precise placement and securing of the stent. The rigid structure of the tracheostomy tube hindered surgical access and increased the risk of dislodging the stent during manipulation. To overcome this challenge, the decision was made to replace the existing tracheostomy tube with a 7.0 mm non-kinking

endotracheal tube (ETT) using a guidewire. This exchange was performed meticulously to ensure continuous ventilation and minimize trauma to the airway. The non-kinking ETT, with its greater flexibility and smaller external diameter, provided more space for surgical maneuvering and reduced the risk of stent dislodgement. The second challenge involved securing the upper end of the stent in the cricoid region. The cricoid cartilage, a rigid ring-shaped structure at the lower end of the larynx, forms the only complete cartilaginous ring in the airway. Its anatomical configuration presented a challenge in securely anchoring the upper end of the stent while ensuring that it did not impinge on the vocal cords or cause airway obstruction. To address this challenge, a non-kinking ETT was placed via intraoral intubation. This technique allowed for precise placement of the ETT within the stented trachea, ensuring its secure positioning and preventing any interference with the stent's upper end. The non-kinking ETT, with its flexible design, conformed to the contours of the airway, minimizing the risk of pressure injury to the tracheal mucosa. The third challenge involved securing the lower end of the stent while the neck ETT was in place. The neck ETT, initially used to facilitate stent placement, now posed a potential obstacle to the final securing of the stent's lower end. Leaving the neck ETT in place could compromise the stability of the stent and increase the risk of dislodgement. To overcome this challenge, the neck ETT was carefully removed after inserting a guidewire for emergency airway access. This ensured that the lower end of the stent could be securely fastened without any interference from the neck ETT. The guidewire provided a safety net, allowing for rapid re-establishment of airway access in the event of an unexpected complication (Table 3).

Table 1. Anamnesis, clinical findings, laboratory, imaging, and diagnosis.

Category	Findings
Anamnesis	28-year-old male. Discomfort at tracheostomy tube insertion area. A tracheostomy tube was placed two years prior due to tracheal injury. Tracheal injury sustained in a motorcycle accident (cable entanglement). Breathing smooth despite discomfort. No complaints of secretion production or fever. No significant past medical history: no history of hypertension, diabetes mellitus, asthma. No significant family history of the disease. No history of allergies.
Clinical findings	Vital signs within normal limits: Blood pressure: 120/80 mmHg, Heart rate: 80 bpm, Respiratory rate: 16 breaths/min, Temperature: 36.5°C, Oxygen saturation: 98% on room air, GCS E4V5M6. Patent nostrils, adequate mouth opening, Mallampati class II. Tracheostomy tube in situ with no secretions or signs of infection. BMI: 22 kg/m².
Laboratory	All parameters within normal limits: Hb: 14.9 g/dL; Ht: 44%; Leukocytes: 10.8 x109/L; Thrombocytes: 330 x109/L; PT: 13.2 sec; aPTT: 29.8 sec; INR: 0.97; Blood type: AB; Urea: 19 mg/dL; Creatinine: 0.9 mg/dL; Na: 137 mEq/L; K: 3.6 mEq/L; Cl: 102 mEq/L; HBsAg: Non-reactive; Blood glucose: 90 mg/dL
Imaging	Chest X-ray: Defect in the tracheostomy tube at the level of cervical vertebra 7 (VC 7) and the distal end protruded as high as thoracic vertebra 3 (VTh 3). Non-contrast CT scan: Irregular density mass with irregular borders in the soft tissue of the trachea protruding at VC5-6 causing intraluminal tracheal stenosis. Intraluminal tracheal stenosis with a diameter of 3.3 mm. A 1.5 cm long soft tissue hematoma and bilateral cricoid cartilage damage at VC 4 and VC 6 were also seen.
Diagnosis	Post-tracheostomy tracheal stenosis. ASA Physical Status II

Table 2. Tracheal reconstruction surgery under general anesthesia procedure.

Stage	Treatment
Preoperative preparation	Assess tracheostomy tube patency. Prepare for potential tracheostomy tube replacement. Administer multimodal analgesia: IV fentanyl 1 mcg/kg, Topical lidocaine spray in the tracheal tube, Paracetamol infusion 1000 mg, Achieve mild sedation with IV dexmedetomidine 0.3 mcg/kg/hour. Guidewire-assisted tracheostomy tube replacement. Connect the new tracheostomy tube to the breathing circuit. Inflate the tracheal balloon to maintain patency.
Anesthesia induction and maintenance	Deepen sedation with IV midazolam 0.1 mg/kg. Administer sevoflurane gas inhalation at 1.5 vol%. Maintain neuromuscular blockade with IV recuronium 0.8 mg/kg.
Surgical procedure	The sagittal incision from the cricoid region to just above the tracheostomy tube cannula. Exploration of tracheal stenosis and lumen release. Prepare a stent for placement from the cricoid cartilage to the upper edge of the tracheostomy tube (approximately 7 cm). Stent placement near the tracheostomy incision site. Securing the upper end of the stent in the cricoid region. Securing the lower end of the stent with the neck ETT in place. Secure the lower end of the stent. Push down the intraoral ETT through the stented trachea. Confirm proper ETT placement via auscultation.
Postoperative care	Transfer the patient to the ICU. Administer postoperative analgesia: IV paracetamol, Continuous dexmedetomidine infusion.

Table 3. Airway management challenges and solutions.

Challenge	Solution
Stent placement near the tracheostomy incision site	Replace the tracheostomy tube with a 7.0 non-kinking ETT using a guidewire
Securing the upper end of the stent in the cricoid region	Place a non-kinking ETT via intraoral intubation
Securing the lower end of the stent with the neck ETT in place	Remove the neck ETT after inserting a guidewire for emergency airway access

A

B

C

D E

Figure 1. Tracheal reconstruction surgery. A. Recannulation of the tracheal tube using guided wire. B. Exploration of tracheal stenotic tissue. C. Exploration of tracheal stenotic tissue and expanding the surgical field. D. Ensuring the proximal end of the stent is on track with intraoral ETT intubation. E. Distal end of the fixed stent.

3. Discussion

In the presented case study, the patient, a 28-year-old male, had a history of tracheostomy performed two years prior following a tracheal injury sustained in a motorcycle accident. The chronic presence of the tracheostomy tube led to a common complication of tracheal stenosis, a narrowing of the airway. This complication is frequently observed in patients who require long-term tracheostomy, and it can lead to significant challenges in airway management, especially during procedures like tracheal reconstruction surgery. The initial challenge encountered by the anesthesia team was the poor patency of the existing tracheostomy tube. The term "patency" refers to the openness or unobstructed state of the airway. In this case, the tracheostomy tube, which is typically inserted to bypass an obstructed upper airway and facilitate breathing, was itself contributing to airway narrowing. This is because the chronic presence of a tracheostomy tube can cause irritation, inflammation, and scarring in the trachea, leading to stenosis. The poor patency of the tracheostomy tube posed a significant risk to the patient, as it could compromise adequate ventilation and oxygenation during the tracheal reconstruction surgery. To mitigate this risk, the anesthesia team made a crucial decision to replace the existing tracheostomy tube with an uncuffed endotracheal tube (ETT). An endotracheal tube is a flexible tube that is inserted through the mouth or nose and passed into the trachea to maintain an open airway and facilitate ventilation. The choice of an uncuffed ETT in this case was deliberate. Cuffed ETTs, which have a balloon-like cuff at their distal end that can be inflated to seal the airway, are generally preferred for adults to prevent aspiration of gastric contents and ensure a secure airway. However, in this patient with tracheal stenosis, an uncuffed ETT was deemed more appropriate to minimize the risk of further trauma to the already narrowed trachea. The replacement of the tracheostomy tube with the uncuffed ETT was performed using a guidewire. A guidewire is a thin, flexible wire that is inserted through the existing tracheostomy tube and into the trachea. The tracheostomy tube is then removed, and the ETT is advanced over the guidewire and into the trachea. The guidewire ensures proper placement of the ETT and minimizes the risk of complications such as misplacement or trauma to the airway. This exchange of airway devices was a critical step in ensuring the patient's safety and facilitating the successful completion of the tracheal reconstruction surgery. The uncuffed ETT provided a more secure and patent airway, allowing for adequate ventilation and

oxygenation during the procedure. The use of a guidewire during the exchange ensured proper placement of the ETT and minimized the risk of airway trauma. This case highlights the importance of careful preoperative assessment and planning in patients with tracheal stenosis undergoing tracheal reconstruction surgery. The anesthesia team's proactive identification and management of the airway challenge posed by the poorly patent tracheostomy tube were crucial in ensuring a successful outcome for the patient.[11,12]

The intraoperative phase of tracheal reconstruction surgery is a critical period that demands meticulous attention to airway management. In this case, the most demanding challenges arose during the stenting procedure. The placement of a tracheal stent, a small tube-like device designed to maintain the openness of the trachea, is a crucial step in tracheal reconstruction surgery. The stent provides structural support to the reconstructed trachea, preventing collapse and ensuring adequate airflow. However, the process of stent placement requires precise maneuvering and unobstructed access to the surgical field, which, in this case, was in close proximity to the patient's airway. The surgical field, the area where the surgical procedure is being performed, required access to both the proximal and distal ends of the stenotic segment. The proximal end refers to the portion of the trachea closer to the mouth, while the distal end refers to the portion closer to the lungs. To achieve adequate visualization and access to these areas, temporary removal of the endotracheal tube (ETT) was necessary. The temporary removal of the ETT, while necessary for surgical access, posed a critical risk to the patient's airway patency and oxygenation. Airway patency refers to the unobstructed state of the airway, allowing for free passage of air. Oxygenation is the process of delivering oxygen to the blood. With the ETT removed, the patient's airway was vulnerable to collapse or obstruction, potentially leading to inadequate ventilation and oxygenation, which could have serious consequences, including brain damage or cardiac arrest. To mitigate this risk, the anesthesia team employed a combination of advanced airway management techniques, jet ventilation and intermittent apnea with manual ventilation. Jet ventilation is a technique that involves delivering high-velocity jets of oxygen through a narrow catheter placed into the airway. This technique allows for adequate oxygenation and ventilation while maintaining a clear surgical field, as the catheter is much smaller than an ETT and does not obstruct the surgeon's view. However, jet ventilation requires specialized equipment and expertise. It also carries the risk of barotrauma, injury to the lungs caused by high pressure, and air embolism, a condition where air bubbles enter the bloodstream and can block blood vessels. Intermittent apnea with manual ventilation is a simpler technique that involves temporarily stopping mechanical ventilation and providing manual breaths using a bag-valve-mask device. This technique is less technologically demanding than jet ventilation and does not carry the same risks of barotrauma and air embolism. However, it requires careful coordination between the anesthesia and surgical teams to minimize the duration of apnea, the period where the patient is not breathing, and avoid hypoxia, a condition of insufficient oxygen reaching the body's tissues. In this case, the successful implementation of these techniques demonstrates the anesthesia team's ability to adapt to challenging intraoperative situations and ensure the patient's safety while facilitating the surgical procedure. The team's expertise in airway management was crucial in maintaining adequate ventilation and oxygenation during the critical periods of ETT removal, allowing the surgical team to successfully place the tracheal stent.[13,14]

The postoperative period following tracheal reconstruction surgery is a critical time for patients, as they remain at risk for a variety of complications. In this case, the patient was transferred to the intensive care unit (ICU) for close monitoring and continued respiratory support. This decision reflects the understanding that despite the successful completion of the surgery, the patient's airway remained vulnerable and required specialized care. The successful extubation of the patient in the operating room is a testament to the meticulous airway management throughout the procedure. Extubation refers to the removal of the endotracheal tube (ETT), signifying the restoration of spontaneous breathing. The fact that the patient was able to breathe on their own immediately

after surgery indicates that the airway was adequately secured and that the tracheal reconstruction was successful in restoring sufficient airflow. However, it's crucial to recognize that patients undergoing tracheal reconstruction surgery, even those who are successfully extubated, remain at risk for postoperative complications. These complications can range from mild to life-threatening and require vigilant monitoring and prompt management. One of the most common postoperative complications is airway edema. Edema refers to swelling caused by fluid accumulation in the tissues. In the context of tracheal reconstruction, airway edema can occur due to surgical manipulation, irritation from the stent, or the body's natural inflammatory response to the procedure. Airway edema can lead to narrowing of the airway and difficulty breathing, requiring interventions such as reintubation or the use of medications to reduce swelling. Another potential complication is stent migration. The tracheal stent, a small tube-like device used to maintain the openness of the trachea, can sometimes shift from its intended position. This can lead to partial or complete airway obstruction, requiring urgent repositioning or replacement of the stent. Restenosis, or the recurrence of tracheal stenosis, is another significant concern following tracheal reconstruction surgery. Restenosis can occur due to scar tissue formation, granulation tissue growth, or stent-related complications. It can lead to a gradual re-narrowing of the airway, potentially requiring further interventions such as balloon dilation or repeat surgery. To ensure a successful recovery and minimize the risk of these complications, continued vigilance and close monitoring are essential. In the ICU setting, patients are closely monitored for signs of respiratory distress, such as increased breathing effort, changes in oxygen saturation, or abnormal breath sounds. Regular assessments of the airway are performed, often using techniques like fiberoptic bronchoscopy, to visualize the trachea and stent and identify any signs of complications. In addition to close monitoring, patients may require continued respiratory support in the postoperative period. This can range from supplemental oxygen therapy to more invasive measures like mechanical ventilation, depending on the patient's individual needs and the presence of any complications. The postoperative management of patients undergoing tracheal reconstruction surgery is a complex and demanding process that requires a multidisciplinary approach. The anesthesia team, surgeons, intensivists, and respiratory therapists work together to provide comprehensive care and ensure the best possible outcome for the patient. In this case, the patient's uneventful recovery and discharge home on the fifth postoperative day highlight the importance of meticulous intraoperative management and vigilant postoperative care. The successful outcome is a testament to the expertise and dedication of the healthcare team involved in the patient's care.[15,16]

The successful management of the airway in this complex case of tracheal reconstruction surgery was attributed to several key factors, each highlighting the importance of meticulous planning, skilled execution, and dynamic adaptation in the face of challenges. The foundation for successful airway management was laid in the preoperative phase. The anesthesia team conducted a comprehensive assessment of the patient's airway, utilizing various tools and techniques to gather crucial information. This included a detailed review of the patient's medical history, physical examination, and imaging studies, such as computed tomography (CT) scans, to visualize the extent and severity of the tracheal stenosis. A particularly valuable tool in the preoperative assessment was fiberoptic bronchoscopy. This procedure involves inserting a thin, flexible tube with a camera attached to its end into the airway. It allows for direct visualization of the trachea, enabling the anesthesia team to assess the degree of stenosis, identify any anatomical abnormalities, and evaluate the condition of the surrounding tissues. The information gathered during the preoperative assessment was instrumental in formulating a tailored airway management strategy. The team was able to anticipate potential challenges, such as the need for tracheostomy tube replacement or the use of advanced ventilation techniques, and prepare accordingly. This proactive approach minimized the risk of intraoperative surprises and allowed for a more controlled and efficient surgical procedure. Despite meticulous planning, intraoperative challenges are often unavoidable in complex surgical procedures like tracheal reconstruction. In this case,

the team demonstrated remarkable flexibility and adaptability in responding to unexpected situations. The initial challenge arose with the poor patency of the existing tracheostomy tube. The team promptly recognized the need for a more secure airway and made the decisive decision to replace the tracheostomy tube with an uncuffed endotracheal tube (ETT). This maneuver ensured adequate ventilation and oxygenation during the procedure while minimizing the risk of further trauma to the already narrowed trachea. Further challenges emerged during the stenting procedure, which required temporary removal of the ETT to provide surgical access. The team's adaptability was evident in their skillful implementation of jet ventilation and intermittent apnea with manual ventilation. These advanced techniques allowed for adequate oxygenation and ventilation while maintaining a clear surgical field, demonstrating the team's ability to think on their feet and adjust their approach as needed. The success of this case was also heavily reliant on the seamless collaboration between the anesthesia and surgical teams. Throughout the procedure, both teams maintained open communication and coordinated their efforts to ensure the patient's safety and facilitate the surgical goals. The anesthesia team's expertise in airway management was crucial in providing a stable and secure airway, allowing the surgical team to focus on the intricate task of tracheal reconstruction. The surgeons, in turn, were mindful of the challenges faced by the anesthesia team and worked efficiently to minimize the duration of critical maneuvers, such as ETT removal and stent placement. This interdisciplinary approach fostered a shared understanding of the challenges and goals of the procedure. It allowed for prompt and effective responses to intraoperative events, ensuring that any complications were addressed swiftly and collaboratively.[17,18]

This case report serves as a valuable reminder of the complex airway challenges that can arise during tracheal reconstruction surgery in patients with severe tracheal stenosis. It underscores the importance of careful preoperative planning, intraoperative vigilance, and the ability to adapt to unexpected challenges. The successful outcome in this case is a testament to the skill and expertise of the anesthesia and surgical teams involved. The innovative airway management techniques employed in this case may also have broader implications for the management of other patients with complex airway pathologies. The use of jet ventilation and intermittent apnea with manual ventilation could be considered in similar cases where temporary removal of the ETT is necessary to facilitate surgical access. Tracheal stenosis, a condition characterized by the narrowing of the trachea, can significantly compromise a patient's ability to breathe. In severe cases, tracheal reconstruction surgery may be necessary to restore adequate airflow. However, this procedure presents unique challenges for airway management, as the surgical field is located within the airway itself. This case report highlights the importance of a multidisciplinary approach to airway management in patients with tracheal stenosis undergoing tracheal reconstruction surgery. The anesthesiologist must be prepared to address a variety of challenges, including those related to the patient's underlying condition, the surgical procedure itself, and any unexpected intraoperative events. Careful preoperative planning is essential for successful airway management in these complex cases. The anesthesiologist should obtain a thorough medical history, perform a physical examination, and review relevant imaging studies to assess the severity of the tracheal stenosis and identify any potential airway challenges. In this case, the patient had a history of tracheostomy, which can increase the risk of tracheal stenosis. The anesthesiologist should be aware of this risk and take appropriate precautions to ensure adequate airway patency throughout the perioperative period. The anesthesiologist must remain vigilant throughout the surgical procedure, as the airway can be compromised at any time. The anesthesiologist should continuously monitor the patient's oxygenation and ventilation and be prepared to intervene if necessary. In this case, the anesthesiologist was faced with several intraoperative challenges, including the need to replace the tracheostomy tube and the use of jet ventilation and intermittent apnea with manual ventilation. The anesthesiologist's ability to adapt to these challenges was critical to the successful outcome of the case. The

anesthesiologist must be prepared to adapt to unexpected challenges that may arise during the surgical procedure. This may require the use of innovative airway management techniques, such as those employed in this case. The anesthesiologist should also be comfortable working in a collaborative environment with the surgical team. Effective communication and coordination between the two teams are essential for ensuring the patient's safety and facilitating the surgical procedure. The innovative airway management techniques employed in this case may have broader implications for the management of other patients with complex airway pathologies. Jet ventilation and intermittent apnea with manual ventilation are two techniques that can be used to maintain adequate oxygenation and ventilation while providing surgical access to the airway. Jet ventilation involves the delivery of high-velocity jets of oxygen through a narrow catheter placed into the airway. This technique can be used in situations where traditional mechanical ventilation is not possible or desirable. Intermittent apnea with manual ventilation involves temporarily stopping mechanical ventilation and providing manual breaths using a bag-valve-mask device. This technique can be used to provide short periods of apnea during which the surgical team can perform critical maneuvers. These techniques are not without risk, but they can be valuable tools in the anesthesiologist's armamentarium for managing complex airway cases.[19,20]

4. Conclusion

This case report describes the successful management of a complex airway in a patient with severe post-tracheostomy tracheal stenosis undergoing tracheal reconstruction surgery. The patient's tracheal stenosis was caused by a motorcycle accident that resulted in tracheal injury. The patient underwent tracheal reconstruction surgery under general anesthesia. The anesthesiologists faced several challenges during the surgery, including the poor patency of the existing tracheostomy tube and the need to maintain airway patency while providing surgical access to the trachea. These challenges were successfully overcome through the use of innovative airway management techniques, such as replacing the tracheostomy tube with an uncuffed endotracheal tube and using jet ventilation and intermittent apnea with manual ventilation. The patient's postoperative recovery was uneventful, and he was discharged home on the fifth postoperative day. This case highlights the critical role of flexible and innovative airway management techniques in tracheal reconstruction surgery. Meticulous planning, close collaboration between the surgical and anesthesia teams, and the ability to adapt to unexpected intraoperative challenges are essential for successful outcomes in these complex cases. The innovative airway management techniques employed in this case may also have broader implications for the management of other patients with complex airway pathologies.

5. References

1. Hasegawa S, Koda K, Uzawa M, Kimura H, Kimura R, Kitamura T. Successful airway management with combined use of a McGRATHTM MAC videolaryngoscope and fiberoptic bronchoscope in a patient with congenital tracheal stenosis diagnosed in adulthood. JA Clin Rep. 2021; 7(1): 47.
2. Torun EG, Yazici MU, Azapagası E, Örün UA, Cinar HG, Koc M. A case of pulmonary artery sling anomaly with tracheal stenosis and management of difficult airway. J Pediatr Intensive Care. 2021; 10(3): 235–9.
3. Weinberg L, Graham J, Meyerov J, Moshinsky JA, Aitken SAA, Spanger M, et al. Tracheal Stent buckling and in-stent stenosis: a proposed airway management algorithm for airway obstruction for patients with tracheal stents. J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth. 2022; 36(8 Pt B):3139–46.
4. Basiari LV, Michali MC, Komnos ID, Litsou EV, Psychogios GV. Management of an acute airway obstruction due to tracheal carcinoma in a patient with severe glottic stenosis. Cureus. 2023; 15(1): e33203.
5. Altun D, Canbaz M, Altun D, Sen C, Çamcı E. Airway management during unusual tracheal stenosis: a clinical feasibility trial.

Laryngoscope Investig Otolaryngol. 2023; 8(5): 1169–77.
6. Chaudhary K, Kumari K, Chhabra S, Choudhary G. Management of airway obstruction following lidocaine nebulization in a case of tracheal stenosis: case report. Braz J Anesthesiol. 2023; 73(3): 351–3.
7. Patel AJ, Budacan A-M, Kumar S, Griffiths H, Sonsale A, Bishay E, et al. Management of benign airway stenosis-predictors of tracheal resection. J Thorac Dis. 2024; 16(11): 7640–50.
8. Kanavitoon S, Kou Y-F, Rutter MJ. Airway management with congenital tracheal stenosis: Surgical and anesthetic consideration. Laryngoscope. 2024.
9. Sparling JL, Chitilian HV, Korn E, Alfille PH, Bao X. Induction of anaesthesia and airway management in patients with severe tracheal stenosis: a single-centre retrospective study. Br J Anaesth. 2024.
10. Sharma V, Atluri H. Unveiling the success of awake insertion of supraglottic airway device for ventilation in the bronchoscopic management of tracheal stenosis. Cureus. 2024; 16(2): e54703.
11. Perez-Ajami D. Prehospital airway management with a second generation laryngeal mask in a patient with severe tracheal stenosis. Emergencias. 2024; 36(5): 399–400.
12. Wang R. Application of laryngeal mask airway in airway management of patients with A tracheal stenosis – A case report. Asploro J Biomed Clin Case Rep. 2024; 7(3): 259–62.
13. Lu Y, Zhang W, Zhang Y, Hu X, Xu R, Shi H, et al. Airway management for patients with tracheal stenosis and severe scar contracture of the face and neck via bronchoscopy: a case report. J Cardiothorac Surg. 2024; 19(1): 537.
14. Zarogoulidis P, Tsakiridis K, Karanikas, Kontakiotis, Porpodis K, Mitrakas A, et al. Difficult airway and difficult intubation in postintubation tracheal stenosis: a case report and literature review. Ther Clin Risk Manag. 2012; 279.
15. Ergenoglu MU, Ercan S, Yerebakan H, Pektok E, Kucukaksu S. Perioperative airway management strategy and posttransplant successful tracheal resection and reconstruction in a heart transplant candidate with post-intubation stenosis. Thorac Cardiovasc Surg. 2012; 60(4): 295–8.
16. Tsukioka T, Takahama M, Nakajima R, Kimura M, Tei K, Yamamoto R. Surgical reconstruction for tuberculous airway stenosis: management for patients with concomitant tracheal malacia. Gen Thorac Cardiovasc Surg. 2015; 63(7): 379–85.
17. Chen Y, Liao H, Niu Y, Ni X, Wang J. Anesthetic consideration for airway management in patient undergoing tracheal resection and reconstruction for severe postintubation tracheal stenosis: a case report. Postgrad Med. 2021; 133(5): 544–7.
18. Pateel G, Malyad A, Lengade P. Airway management and anesthesia for intrathoracic tracheal stenosis resection and reconstruction with midline sternotomy. Saudi J Anaesth. 2024; 18(2): 323–4.
19. Mathew J, Rajan S, Kunjumon BS, Kumar L. Anaesthetic management of acquired tracheal stenosis for tracheal resection and reconstruction. Airway. 2021; 4(3):196–200.
20. Airway Reconstruction Team. Recent challenges in the management of congenital tracheal stenosis: an individualized approach. J Pediatr Surg. 2005; 40(5): 774–80.