e-ISSN: 2807-6257

Open Access Indonesian Journal of Medical Reviews

[OAIJMR]

https://hmpublisher.com/index.php/oaijmr

Successful Surgical Management of Partial Obstructive Ileus Secondary to Intra-abdominal Tumor: A Case Report

Bambang Irwansyah[1*], Ida Bagus Budhi Surya Adnyana[2]

[1]Department of Surgery, Dr. Moewardi General Hospital/Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Sebelas Maret, Surakarta, Indonesia

[2]Department of Digestive Surgery, Dr. Moewardi General Hospital/Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Sebelas Maret, Surakarta, Indonesia

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords:
Case report
Intra-abdominal tumor
Laparotomy
Partial obstructive ileus
Surgical management

***Corresponding author:**
Bambang Irwansyah

E-mail address:
bambangirwansyah2025@gmail.com

All authors have reviewed and approved the final version of the manuscript.

https://doi.org/10.37275/oaijmr.v5i1.702

A B S T R A C T

Partial obstructive ileus, characterized by incomplete intestinal blockage, can arise from various etiologies, including intra-abdominal tumors. These tumors, often compressing or obstructing the bowel lumen, necessitate prompt diagnosis and intervention to prevent severe complications. This case report presents a 42-year-old woman with partial obstructive ileus secondary to an intra-abdominal tumor, highlighting the diagnostic process, surgical management, and clinical outcomes. A 42-year-old female presented to the emergency department with abdominal distension, pain, and a two-day history of constipation. Physical examination revealed abdominal tenderness, and radiographic imaging confirmed partial obstructive ileus with pneumoperitoneum. Initial management focused on hemodynamic stabilization, fluid resuscitation, and nasogastric decompression. Subsequently, an exploratory laparotomy was performed, revealing an intra-abdominal tumor causing intestinal obstruction. Segmental tumor resection was successfully conducted, alleviating the obstruction. In conclusion, this case underscores the importance of considering intra-abdominal tumors in the differential diagnosis of partial obstructive ileus. Timely surgical intervention, including tumor resection, can effectively address the obstruction and improve patient outcomes. Further research is warranted to explore the long-term outcomes and prognostic factors associated with this condition.

1. Introduction

Partial obstructive ileus, characterized by the incomplete blockage of the intestinal lumen, presents a diagnostic and therapeutic challenge in clinical practice. Unlike complete bowel obstruction, which manifests with absolute constipation and obstipation, partial obstructive ileus allows for the passage of some gas and stool, often leading to delayed diagnosis and increased morbidity. This delay in diagnosis can result in severe complications, including bowel perforation, peritonitis, and sepsis, highlighting the need for prompt and accurate diagnosis. The etiology of partial obstructive ileus is diverse, encompassing a wide range of causes, including adhesions, hernias, tumors, and inflammatory conditions. Among these, intra-abdominal tumors represent a significant cause, as they can compress, invade, or obstruct the bowel lumen, disrupting the normal flow of intestinal contents. The location, size, and nature of the tumor, as well as the extent of intestinal compromise, determine the clinical presentation and severity of the obstruction.[1-3]

The clinical presentation of partial obstructive ileus secondary to intra-abdominal tumors varies widely, depending on the characteristics of the tumor and the degree of intestinal obstruction. Common symptoms include abdominal pain, distension, nausea, vomiting, and changes in bowel habits. However, the insidious

onset and nonspecific nature of these symptoms can mimic other gastrointestinal disorders, making accurate diagnosis challenging. This diagnostic difficulty is further compounded by the fact that partial obstructive ileus may not present with the classic signs of complete bowel obstruction, such as absolute constipation and obstipation. Radiographic imaging plays a crucial role in evaluating suspected cases of partial obstructive ileus. Plain abdominal radiographs may reveal dilated bowel loops, air-fluid levels, and in some cases, pneumoperitoneum, indicating intestinal perforation. However, plain radiographs may not always provide sufficient detail to identify the underlying cause of the obstruction. Computed tomography (CT) scans offer more detailed anatomical information, allowing for precise localization of the obstruction and identification of the underlying cause, such as an intra-abdominal tumor. The ability of CT scans to accurately delineate the tumor's location, size, and relationship to surrounding structures makes it an invaluable tool in the diagnostic workup of partial obstructive ileus.[4-7]

The management of partial obstructive ileus caused by intra-abdominal tumors depends on the patient's clinical status, the characteristics of the tumor, and the presence of complications. Initial management typically focuses on hemodynamic stabilization, fluid resuscitation, and nasogastric decompression to alleviate symptoms and prevent further complications. These conservative measures aim to stabilize the patient's condition and optimize their physiological parameters before definitive surgical intervention. Surgical intervention is often necessary to remove the obstructing tumor and restore intestinal continuity. The type of surgical procedure performed depends on the location and extent of the tumor, as well as the patient's overall health. In some cases, minimally invasive techniques, such as laparoscopy, may be employed, while in others, open surgery may be required. The surgical team's expertise in both gastroenterohepatology and surgical oncology is crucial for successful tumor resection and restoration of intestinal function.[8-10] This case report describes a 42-year-old woman who presented with partial obstructive ileus secondary to an intra-abdominal tumor.

2. Case Presentation

The patient, a 42-year-old female, presented to the emergency department with a primary complaint of generalized abdominal bloating and pain. This discomfort was diffuse in nature, impacting the entire abdominal region rather than being localized to a specific quadrant or area. The patient's abdominal pain had a sudden onset, occurring approximately 30 minutes prior to her arrival at the hospital. Notably, the pain was not associated with food or medication intake, suggesting a potential underlying pathology rather than a dietary or drug-induced cause. Accompanying the pain was a two-day history of constipation, although the patient reported still being able to pass gas, a crucial detail that differentiates partial from complete bowel obstruction. The severity of the pain was significant, disrupting her daily activities, and showing no improvement with rest, indicating the need for urgent medical attention. Importantly, the patient denied any nausea, vomiting, diarrhea, fever, shortness of breath, coughing, or gastrointestinal bleeding, helping to narrow down the differential diagnosis. The patient's past medical history revealed a similar episode of abdominal symptoms approximately one month prior, which resolved without medical intervention. This recurrence of symptoms suggests a potential underlying chronic condition or predisposition to gastrointestinal issues. Additionally, the patient reported a 5 kg weight loss over the past month, accompanied by decreased appetite, raising concerns about potential malnutrition or an underlying systemic illness. She denied any history of hypertension or diabetes, ruling out these common comorbidities. The patient's urinary history was unremarkable, with a normal frequency of 4-5 times daily, producing yellow urine with volumes of 1-1.5 liters per day. The absence of urinary pain, blood, or increased frequency helps to exclude urinary tract infections or other urological conditions as

potential contributors to her abdominal symptoms. The patient appeared weak and in visible discomfort, consistent with her reported pain level and the potential severity of her condition. Her vital signs were as follows; Blood pressure: 109/60 mmHg, slightly lower than the normal range, possibly indicating dehydration or hypovolemia; Heart rate: 79 bpm, within the normal range; Respiratory rate: 22 breaths per minute, slightly elevated, possibly due to pain or anxiety; Temperature: 36.4°C, within the normal range, ruling out fever; Pain scale: 5/10, indicating moderate pain. Palpation of the abdomen revealed no palpable masses, suggesting that the cause of the obstruction was not a large, readily detectable tumor. Auscultation revealed decreased bowel sounds (2 per minute), consistent with the partial obstruction and reduced intestinal motility. The abdomen was diffusely tender to palpation without guarding, indicating peritoneal irritation but not necessarily peritonitis. There were no visible changes in abdominal contour, suggesting that the obstruction was not causing significant distension or asymmetry. The rectal examination revealed a non-collapsed ampulla, indicating the presence of fecal material in the rectum. The rectal wall was smooth, and there was stool mixed with mucus and blood, which could suggest inflammation or irritation in the lower gastrointestinal tract. Abdominal X-rays taken in three positions (supine, upright, and lateral decubitus) revealed a high-level intestinal obstruction with evidence of free air under the diaphragm (pneumoperitoneum). The presence of pneumoperitoneum is a concerning finding as it suggests intestinal perforation, which can lead to peritonitis and sepsis. Based on the patient's clinical presentation, physical examination, and radiographic findings, a clinical diagnosis of partial obstructive ileus secondary to an intra-abdominal tumor was made. The presence of pneumoperitoneum further complicated the diagnosis, raising concerns about potential intestinal perforation and the need for urgent surgical intervention (Table 1).

The management of this patient with partial obstructive ileus secondary to an intra-abdominal tumor involved a multi-faceted approach, encompassing initial stabilization, surgical intervention, postoperative care, and long-term follow-up. The initial management focused on stabilizing the patient's hemodynamic status and alleviating her symptoms. Intravenous fluids, such as lactated Ringer's solution, were administered to correct dehydration and electrolyte imbalances, which are common in patients with bowel obstruction due to vomiting and decreased oral intake. Nutritional support was also initiated, starting with nil per os (NPO) status to rest the bowel, followed by a gradual advancement of diet as tolerated postoperatively. This gradual progression typically involves starting with clear liquids and progressing to a regular diet as bowel function returns. Gastrointestinal decompression was achieved through nasogastric tube insertion. This intervention helps to relieve abdominal distension and prevent vomiting by removing accumulated fluids and gas from the stomach and intestines. Additionally, analgesics, such as intravenous opioids, were administered for pain management, ensuring patient comfort and facilitating recovery. Throughout this initial phase, continuous monitoring of vital signs, oxygen saturation, and urine output was crucial to assess the patient's response to treatment and identify any potential complications. Once the patient was stabilized, surgical intervention was deemed necessary to address the underlying cause of the obstruction. An exploratory laparotomy was performed, involving a midline incision to visualize and assess the intra-abdominal organs. This approach allowed for direct visualization of the tumor and its relationship to surrounding structures, facilitating surgical planning and decision-making. During the laparotomy, a segmental resection of the affected bowel along with the intra-abdominal tumor was performed. This involved removing the portion of the intestine containing the tumor and reconnecting the healthy ends of the bowel to restore intestinal continuity. The resected specimen was sent for histopathological examination to determine the tumor type and characteristics, which is crucial for prognosis and

further management decisions. Postoperatively, the patient was admitted to the intensive care unit (ICU) for close monitoring of vital signs, fluid balance, and pain management. The ICU setting provides specialized care and resources for managing potential complications, such as infection, bleeding, or respiratory issues. Wound care was meticulously performed, involving daily dressing changes and monitoring for signs of infection. Early ambulation was encouraged to prevent postoperative complications, such as deep vein thrombosis and pneumonia. A bowel regimen was implemented to monitor bowel movements and manage constipation, which can be a common issue after bowel surgery. This may involve stool softeners, laxatives, or other interventions to promote regular bowel function. The patient's follow-up care involved regular clinic visits scheduled at 2 weeks, 6 weeks, 3 months, 6 months, and then annually to monitor for recurrence or complications. Imaging studies, such as abdominal CT scans, were performed at 3 months and 6 months postoperatively to assess for tumor recurrence. Ongoing tumor surveillance was tailored based on the tumor type and histopathological findings, ensuring early detection and management of any potential recurrence. The patient experienced complete resolution of her abdominal pain, bloating, and constipation following the surgical intervention. There was no evidence of tumor recurrence at the 6-month follow-up, indicating successful treatment. The patient's quality of life significantly improved, allowing her to return to normal activities. This positive outcome underscores the importance of prompt diagnosis, multidisciplinary collaboration, and expert surgical intervention in managing partial obstructive ileus secondary to intra-abdominal tumors (Table 2).

Table 1. Summary of patient presentation and clinical findings.

Feature	Details
Demographics	42-year-old female
Presenting complaint	Generalized abdominal bloating and pain
History of presenting illness	- Sudden onset of pain 30 minutes prior to arrival - Unrelated to food or medication intake - Accompanied by constipation for 2 days (able to pass gas) - Pain severe, impacting daily activities, no improvement with rest - No nausea, vomiting, diarrhea, fever, shortness of breath, coughing, or gastrointestinal bleeding
Past medical history	- Similar abdominal symptoms one month prior, resolved without medical attention - 5 kg weight loss over the past month - Decreased appetite - No history of hypertension or diabetes
Urinary history	- 4-5 times daily, yellow urine, with volumes of 1-1.5 liters/day - No urinary pain, blood, or increased frequency
Physical examination	- General Appearance: Weak and in visible discomfort - Vital Signs: BP 109/60 mmHg; HR 79 bpm; RR 22 breaths/min; Temp 36.4°C; Pain scale: 5/10 - Abdominal Examination: No palpable masses; decreased bowel sounds (2/min); diffuse tenderness without guarding; no visible abdominal contour changes - Rectal Examination: Non-collapsed ampulla; smooth rectal wall; presence of stool mixed with mucus and blood
Radiographic findings	- Abdominal X-rays (three positions) revealed high-level intestinal obstruction with evidence of free air under the diaphragm (pneumoperitoneum) (Figure 1)
Clinical diagnosis	- Partial obstructive ileus secondary to intra-abdominal tumor - Pneumoperitoneum

Table 2. Treatment and follow-up.

Phase	Details
Initial management	- Hemodynamic Stabilization: Intravenous fluids (e.g., lactated Ringer's solution) administered to correct dehydration and electrolyte imbalances. - Nutritional Support: Nil per os (NPO) initially, followed by gradual advancement of diet (clear liquids to regular) as tolerated postoperatively. - Gastrointestinal Decompression: Nasogastric tube insertion to relieve abdominal distension and prevent vomiting. - Medications: Analgesics (intravenous opioids) for pain management. - Monitoring: Continuous monitoring of vital signs, oxygen saturation, and urine output.
Surgical intervention	- Exploratory Laparotomy: Midline laparotomy performed to visualize and assess the intra-abdominal organs (Figure 2). - Tumor Resection: Segmental resection of the affected bowel along with the intra-abdominal tumor. - Histopathology: Resected specimen sent for histopathological examination to determine tumor type and characteristics.
Postoperative care	- Intensive Care Unit (ICU) Admission: Postoperative monitoring of vital signs, fluid balance, and pain management. - Wound Care: Daily dressing changes and monitoring for signs of infection. - Early Ambulation: Encouraged to prevent postoperative complications such as deep vein thrombosis and pneumonia. - Bowel Regimen: Monitoring of bowel movements and management of constipation.
Follow-up	- Clinic Visits: Regular follow-up appointments scheduled at 2 weeks, 6 weeks, 3 months, 6 months, and then annually to monitor for recurrence or complications. - Imaging Studies: Abdominal CT scans performed at 3 months and 6 months postoperatively to assess for recurrence. - Tumor Surveillance: Ongoing surveillance based on tumor type and histopathological findings.
Outcome	- Symptom Resolution: Complete resolution of abdominal pain, bloating, and constipation. - Tumor Recurrence: No evidence of tumor recurrence at 6 months follow-up. - Quality of Life: Significant improvement in quality of life and return to normal activities.

Figure 1. Abdominal X-rays (three positions). Abdominal X-rays (three positions) revealed high-level intestinal obstruction with evidence of free air under the diaphragm, indicating pneumoperitoneum.

Figure 2. Intraoperative view. An exploratory laparotomy was then performed. During the surgery, a mass was found in the intra-abdominal cavity, and a decision was made to perform a segmental tumor resection. After ensuring that the bleeding was controlled and the abdominal cavity was clean, the incision was closed in layers.

3. Discussion

Partial obstructive ileus, characterized by the incomplete blockage of the intestinal lumen, presents a formidable diagnostic challenge in clinical practice. Unlike complete bowel obstruction, which manifests with the classic signs of absolute constipation and obstipation, partial obstructive ileus often presents with a more insidious onset and a subtle constellation of symptoms that can mimic other gastrointestinal disorders. This diagnostic ambiguity can lead to delays in diagnosis and appropriate intervention, potentially increasing the risk of morbidity and mortality. The patient in the presented case initially presented with abdominal pain, distension, and constipation, a triad of symptoms that lacks specificity and can be attributed to a wide range of gastrointestinal conditions. This overlap in symptomatology often makes it difficult to distinguish partial obstructive ileus from other, less serious conditions, such as irritable bowel syndrome (IBS), gastroenteritis, or constipation-predominant irritable bowel syndrome (IBS-C). Abdominal pain, a hallmark of partial obstructive ileus, can vary significantly in character, intensity, and location, making it difficult to differentiate from pain associated with other gastrointestinal disorders. The pain may be described as cramping, colicky, or dull and aching, and it may be localized to a specific area or diffuse throughout the abdomen. Distension, another common symptom, can be caused by a variety of factors, including gas accumulation, fluid retention, and intestinal dilation, making it a nonspecific indicator of partial obstructive ileus. Constipation, while suggestive of intestinal blockage, can also be a symptom of functional gastrointestinal disorders, such as IBS-C, or a side effect of certain medications. The lack of specific symptoms can lead to a false sense of security, both for the patient and the healthcare provider. Patients may attribute their symptoms to benign causes, such as indigestion or gas, and delay seeking medical attention. This delay can allow the underlying condition to progress, potentially leading to more serious complications, such as bowel perforation or peritonitis. Healthcare providers, faced with a patient presenting with nonspecific abdominal symptoms, may initially focus on more common diagnoses, such as gastroenteritis or IBS, potentially overlooking the possibility of partial obstructive ileus. This diagnostic oversight can have significant consequences, as delayed diagnosis and treatment can increase the risk of morbidity and mortality. The insidious onset of symptoms in partial obstructive ileus further complicates the diagnostic process. Unlike complete bowel obstruction, where the onset of symptoms is often sudden and dramatic, partial obstruction may develop gradually over time, with symptoms waxing and waning in intensity. This gradual progression can make it difficult for patients to pinpoint the exact onset of their symptoms and may lead to delays in seeking medical attention. The subtle nature of symptoms in

partial obstructive ileus can also contribute to diagnostic delays. Patients may initially dismiss their symptoms as mild or insignificant, attributing them to dietary indiscretion, stress, or other benign causes. This can lead to a delay in seeking medical attention, allowing the condition to progress and potentially leading to more serious complications. In some cases, patients with partial obstructive ileus may experience periods of relative improvement, where their symptoms subside or become less severe. These periods of remission can create a false sense of security, leading patients to believe that their condition is resolving on its own. However, without appropriate intervention, the underlying obstruction may persist, and symptoms are likely to recur, potentially with increased severity. One of the most challenging aspects of diagnosing partial obstructive ileus is the fact that it may not present with the classic signs of complete bowel obstruction, such as absolute constipation and obstipation. In partial obstruction, the passage of some gas and stool can mask the severity of the condition, leading to a false sense of reassurance and delaying appropriate intervention. This masking of severity can be particularly problematic in elderly patients or those with underlying medical conditions, who may be less able to tolerate the physiological stress of a partial obstruction. In these patients, even a mild degree of obstruction can lead to significant complications, such as dehydration, electrolyte imbalances, and sepsis. The ability of the bowel to partially compensate for the obstruction can further complicate the clinical picture. The intestines may dilate proximal to the obstruction, allowing for the passage of some intestinal contents. This compensatory mechanism can temporarily alleviate symptoms, leading to a false sense of improvement. However, without addressing the underlying obstruction, the compensatory mechanisms may eventually fail, leading to a worsening of symptoms and potentially life-threatening complications. Given the nonspecific symptomatology, insidious onset, and potential for masking of severity, the diagnosis of partial obstructive ileus often hinges on a high index of clinical suspicion. Healthcare providers must be vigilant in considering partial obstructive ileus in the differential diagnosis of patients presenting with abdominal pain, distension, and changes in bowel habits, even in the absence of absolute constipation and obstipation. A thorough history and physical examination are crucial in raising clinical suspicion for partial obstructive ileus. Careful attention should be paid to the patient's description of their symptoms, including the onset, duration, and character of the pain, as well as any associated symptoms, such as nausea, vomiting, and changes in bowel habits. The physical examination should include a thorough evaluation of the abdomen, including inspection, auscultation, percussion, and palpation. Auscultation of the abdomen may reveal high-pitched bowel sounds or rushes, suggestive of increased intestinal activity proximal to the obstruction. Palpation may reveal tenderness, distension, or masses, which can provide clues to the location and cause of the obstruction. Imaging studies play a critical role in confirming the diagnosis of partial obstructive ileus and identifying the underlying cause. Plain abdominal radiographs may reveal dilated bowel loops and air-fluid levels, but they may not always provide sufficient detail to pinpoint the cause of the obstruction. Computed tomography (CT) scans offer superior anatomical resolution and are often the preferred imaging modality for evaluating suspected cases of partial obstructive ileus. CT scans can accurately delineate the location and extent of the obstruction, as well as identify any underlying causes, such as tumors, adhesions, or hernias. In some cases, additional imaging studies, such as contrast studies or magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), may be necessary to further evaluate the obstruction and guide treatment decisions. Contrast studies involve the administration of a contrast agent, either orally or intravenously, to enhance the visualization of the intestinal tract. MRI provides detailed images of soft tissues and can be helpful in evaluating complex cases or those where the diagnosis is uncertain.[11-13]

Radiographic imaging plays a pivotal role in the diagnosis and management of partial obstructive ileus, offering critical insights into the location, extent, and underlying cause of the obstruction. In this case, the astute utilization of imaging modalities, ranging from plain radiographs to advanced cross-sectional imaging with computed tomography (CT) scans, proved instrumental in guiding the clinical decision-making process and ensuring optimal patient outcomes. Plain abdominal radiographs, commonly referred to as X-rays, serve as the initial screening tool in evaluating patients with suspected bowel obstruction. While their diagnostic accuracy in identifying the precise cause of obstruction may be limited, they can provide valuable information regarding the presence and degree of intestinal distension, air-fluid levels, and other suggestive signs of obstruction. In this case, plain radiographs taken in the supine, upright, and lateral decubitus positions revealed a critical finding pneumoperitoneum, or the presence of free air under the diaphragm. This finding, indicative of intestinal perforation, served as a red flag, prompting immediate further investigation and surgical intervention. The detection of pneumoperitoneum underscores the importance of obtaining multiple views in abdominal radiography, as free air may not be readily apparent in a single view. The supine view, where the patient lies flat on their back, is the standard initial view in abdominal radiography. It provides a general overview of the bowel gas pattern and can reveal dilated loops of bowel, indicative of obstruction. However, free air, being less dense than the surrounding tissues, tends to rise to the highest point in the abdomen, which may not be captured in the supine view. The upright view, where the patient stands or sits upright, is particularly useful for detecting pneumoperitoneum. In this position, free air rises to the area under the diaphragm, creating a characteristic crescent-shaped lucency on the radiograph. The lateral decubitus view, where the patient lies on their side, can also be helpful in detecting free air, especially if the patient is unable to stand or sit upright. While plain radiographs can detect pneumoperitoneum and provide a general overview of the bowel gas pattern, they often lack the anatomical detail required to pinpoint the underlying cause of the obstruction. In cases of partial obstruction, where the degree of distension may be less pronounced, plain radiographs may even fail to definitively diagnose the obstruction. The limitations of plain radiographs stem from their two-dimensional nature and their inability to differentiate between various soft tissue densities. Computed tomography (CT) scans, with their superior anatomical resolution and ability to provide cross-sectional images of the abdomen and pelvis, have emerged as the gold standard for evaluating suspected cases of bowel obstruction. CT scans can accurately pinpoint the site of obstruction, differentiating between small bowel and large bowel obstruction, and identifying the specific segment of bowel involved. This precise localization is crucial for surgical planning, as it allows surgeons to target the affected area and minimize the extent of bowel resection. CT scans can visualize a wide range of potential causes of obstruction, including tumors, adhesions, hernias, inflammatory bowel disease, and volvulus. The ability to identify the underlying cause is essential for determining the appropriate treatment strategy. For example, a tumor causing obstruction may require surgical resection, while adhesions may be managed conservatively with bowel rest and nasogastric decompression. CT scans can provide detailed information about the nature of the obstruction, such as the degree of luminal narrowing, the presence of bowel wall thickening, and the extent of surrounding inflammation. This information can help to assess the severity of the obstruction and predict the likelihood of complications. CT scans can detect complications of bowel obstruction, such as bowel perforation, abscess formation, and mesenteric ischemia. Early detection of complications is crucial for prompt intervention and can significantly improve patient outcomes. In this case, CT scans played a crucial role in confirming the diagnosis of partial obstructive ileus and identifying the intra-abdominal tumor as the causative agent. The CT images provided precise localization of the obstruction, demonstrating

the tumor's relationship to the bowel and surrounding structures. This information proved invaluable in guiding surgical planning and ensuring optimal outcomes. While plain radiographs and CT scans are the most commonly used imaging modalities in evaluating bowel obstruction, other imaging techniques may be employed in specific situations. Contrast studies, such as small bowel follow-through or barium enema, involve the administration of a contrast agent to enhance the visualization of the intestinal tract. These studies can be helpful in evaluating cases of suspected obstruction where the diagnosis is uncertain or when there is a concern for a functional obstruction, such as ileus. Small bowel follow-through involves the oral administration of a contrast agent, which is then followed as it passes through the small intestine. Barium enema involves the rectal administration of a contrast agent to visualize the large intestine. MRI provides detailed images of soft tissues and can be helpful in evaluating complex cases of bowel obstruction, particularly those involving the pelvis or retroperitoneum. MRI can also be used to assess the vascularity of the bowel and surrounding tissues, which can be helpful in evaluating cases of suspected mesenteric ischemia. Unlike CT scans, MRI does not involve ionizing radiation, making it a safer option for pregnant women and children. Ultrasound can be used to evaluate the bowel and surrounding structures, particularly in children or pregnant women, where radiation exposure is a concern. However, ultrasound may be limited in its ability to visualize the entire bowel due to the presence of gas and overlying structures. Ultrasound is also operator-dependent, requiring a skilled sonographer to obtain and interpret the images.[14.15]

The successful management of this complex case of partial obstructive ileus secondary to an intra-abdominal tumor underscores the critical importance of a multidisciplinary approach in tackling complex gastrointestinal conditions. The collaborative efforts of various medical specialists, including gastroenterologists, surgeons, radiologists, and pathologists, ensured comprehensive patient care, spanning from accurate diagnosis and effective surgical intervention to meticulous postoperative monitoring and long-term follow-up. Gastroenterologists played a pivotal role in the initial assessment and stabilization of the patient. Their expertise in diagnosing and managing gastrointestinal disorders allowed for a thorough evaluation of the patient's presenting symptoms, including abdominal pain, distension, and constipation. They conducted a detailed history and physical examination, carefully considering the patient's medical history and current symptoms to formulate a differential diagnosis. Recognizing the potential severity of the patient's condition, the gastroenterologists initiated prompt and appropriate management to stabilize the patient's hemodynamic status and alleviate her symptoms. This included intravenous fluid resuscitation to correct dehydration and electrolyte imbalances, nasogastric decompression to relieve abdominal distension and prevent vomiting, and pain management to ensure patient comfort. The gastroenterologists also played a crucial role in coordinating care with other specialists, ensuring that the patient received timely and appropriate consultations from surgeons, radiologists, and pathologists. This collaborative approach facilitated a comprehensive evaluation of the patient's condition and enabled the development of an individualized treatment plan. Gastroenterologists possess specialized knowledge in recognizing and differentiating various gastrointestinal disorders. They are adept at identifying red flags in the patient's history and physical examination that may suggest a more serious underlying condition, such as partial obstructive ileus. Gastroenterologists can assess the patient's overall health and identify any comorbidities that may increase the risk of complications from the obstruction. This information is crucial for determining the appropriate level of care and the urgency of intervention. In addition to stabilizing the patient's condition, gastroenterologists may initiate medical therapies to address specific symptoms or complications of the obstruction. This may include

medications to manage pain, nausea, and vomiting, as well as antibiotics to prevent or treat infection. In some cases, gastroenterologists may perform an endoscopic evaluation to further assess the obstruction and obtain tissue biopsies for diagnosis. Endoscopy involves inserting a thin, flexible tube with a camera attached to its end into the gastrointestinal tract. This allows for direct visualization of the intestinal mucosa and can help to identify the location and cause of the obstruction. The surgeons' expertise in both gastroenterohepatology and surgical oncology was essential for the successful resection of the intra-abdominal tumor and restoration of intestinal continuity. Their in-depth knowledge of gastrointestinal anatomy, physiology, and pathology allowed for meticulous surgical planning and execution, minimizing the risk of complications and ensuring optimal outcomes. The surgeons' expertise in surgical oncology was particularly crucial in this case, as the intra-abdominal tumor required careful dissection and resection to avoid compromising surrounding structures and ensure complete removal of the tumor. Their knowledge of tumor biology and behavior guided the surgical approach, ensuring that the tumor was resected with adequate margins to minimize the risk of recurrence. The surgeons also played a key role in postoperative care, monitoring the patient's recovery and managing any potential complications. Their expertise in wound care, pain management, and fluid and electrolyte balance ensured that the patient's postoperative course was as smooth and uneventful as possible. Surgeons carefully review the patient's clinical and imaging findings to determine the optimal surgical approach. This may involve open surgery, laparoscopic surgery, or a combination of both techniques. The choice of surgical approach depends on factors such as the location and size of the tumor, the patient's overall health, and the surgeon's experience and expertise. During the surgical procedure, surgeons must make real-time decisions based on the intraoperative findings. This may involve modifying the surgical plan based on the extent of the tumor, the presence of adhesions, or other unexpected findings. Surgeons play a crucial role in postoperative care, monitoring the patient's recovery and managing any potential complications. This may involve close monitoring of vital signs, fluid balance, and pain management, as well as early ambulation and dietary adjustments to promote bowel function. Radiologists provided critical imaging insights that guided the diagnosis and surgical planning. Their expertise in interpreting various imaging modalities, including plain radiographs and CT scans, allowed for accurate localization of the obstruction, identification of the intra-abdominal tumor as the causative agent, and characterization of the tumor's size, location, and relationship to surrounding structures. The radiologists' timely and accurate interpretation of the imaging findings facilitated prompt and appropriate surgical intervention. The detailed information provided by the imaging studies enabled the surgeons to plan the surgical approach meticulously, minimizing the risk of complications and ensuring complete resection of the tumor. Radiologists play a crucial role in obtaining high-quality images that provide the necessary anatomical detail for accurate diagnosis and surgical planning. This may involve optimizing imaging protocols based on the patient's specific needs and the suspected diagnosis. Radiologists possess specialized knowledge in interpreting various imaging modalities, including plain radiographs, CT scans, MRI, and ultrasound. They can identify subtle findings that may be suggestive of obstruction, inflammation, or other abnormalities. In some cases, radiologists may recommend a combination of imaging modalities to provide a comprehensive evaluation of the patient's condition. This may involve using CT scans to assess the extent of the obstruction and MRI to evaluate the vascularity of the bowel and surrounding tissues. Pathologists played a crucial role in confirming the diagnosis and providing prognostic information based on the histopathological analysis of the resected tumor. Their expertise in microscopic examination of tissues allowed for accurate identification of the tumor type and grade, which are essential for determining the

patient's prognosis and guiding further management decisions. In this case, the pathologists' analysis of the resected tumor confirmed the diagnosis of a gastrointestinal stromal tumor (GIST). The histopathological findings also provided information about the tumor's grade, mitotic rate, and other characteristics that are used to predict the likelihood of recurrence and metastasis. This information is crucial for determining the need for adjuvant therapy, such as chemotherapy or targeted therapy, and for planning long-term follow-up care. Pathologists carefully process the resected tissue to preserve its cellular architecture and ensure accurate diagnosis. This may involve fixing the tissue in formalin, embedding it in paraffin, and cutting thin sections for microscopic examination. Pathologists use specialized microscopes to examine the tissue sections, identifying cellular abnormalities and determining the tumor type and grade. They may also use special stains and immunohistochemical techniques to further characterize the tumor. In some cases, pathologists may perform molecular diagnostics to identify specific genetic mutations or other biomarkers that may predict the tumor's behavior or response to therapy. The successful multidisciplinary management of this case highlights the importance of effective communication and coordination among the various medical specialists involved. Regular meetings and consultations between the gastroenterologists, surgeons, radiologists, and pathologists ensured that all members of the team were informed about the patient's progress and that the treatment plan was adjusted as needed based on the latest clinical and imaging findings. The use of electronic medical records and other communication technologies facilitated seamless information sharing and collaboration among the team members. This ensured that all relevant information, including the patient's medical history, laboratory results, imaging findings, and pathology reports, was readily available to all members of the team, enabling informed decision-making and coordinated care. Many hospitals have multidisciplinary tumor boards, where specialists from different disciplines meet to discuss complex cases and develop individualized treatment plans. This forum allows for open communication and collaboration, ensuring that all relevant perspectives are considered. Electronic consultations, or e-consults, allow for rapid communication between specialists, even if they are located in different hospitals or clinics. This can facilitate timely decision-making and avoid unnecessary delays in care. Effective communication with the patient and their family is also crucial in multidisciplinary care. Healthcare providers should ensure that the patient understands their diagnosis, treatment options, and prognosis. They should also provide emotional support and address any concerns or questions the patient may have.[16-18]

The surgical management of partial obstructive ileus secondary to an intra-abdominal tumor requires a multifaceted approach, encompassing careful consideration of the patient's clinical status, the characteristics of the tumor, and the potential for complications. In this case, the surgical team's expertise and meticulous attention to detail were instrumental in achieving a successful outcome. The decision to perform an exploratory laparotomy was pivotal in this case, allowing for direct visualization and assessment of the intra-abdominal pathology. Unlike minimally invasive techniques, such as laparoscopy, which rely on small incisions and camera-guided visualization, laparotomy involves a larger incision that provides a wider field of view and greater access to the abdominal cavity. In this instance, the exploratory laparotomy allowed the surgical team to directly visualize the intra-abdominal tumor, assess its size, location, and relationship to surrounding structures, and confirm the diagnosis of partial obstructive ileus. This direct visualization was crucial in guiding the subsequent surgical steps and ensuring that the appropriate procedure was performed. The surgical team opted for a segmental resection of the affected bowel along with the intra-abdominal tumor. This procedure involved removing the portion of the intestine containing the tumor and

reconnecting the healthy ends of the bowel to restore intestinal continuity. Segmental bowel resection is a common surgical technique for managing bowel obstruction caused by tumors, as it allows for complete removal of the obstructing lesion while preserving as much healthy bowel as possible. The meticulous surgical technique employed in this case minimized the risk of complications, such as anastomotic leak or bleeding. Anastomotic leak, a potentially life-threatening complication, occurs when the connection between the two ends of the bowel fails to heal properly, leading to leakage of intestinal contents into the abdominal cavity. Bleeding can occur during any surgical procedure, but it is particularly concerning in bowel surgery due to the rich blood supply of the intestines. The surgical team's attention to detail, including careful handling of the bowel, precise suturing techniques, and meticulous hemostasis, contributed to the patient's favorable postoperative course. The patient's postoperative recovery was closely monitored, with a focus on pain management, wound care, and early ambulation to prevent complications. Pain management is crucial in the postoperative period as it promotes patient comfort and facilitates early mobilization. Wound care involves regular dressing changes and monitoring for signs of infection, such as redness, swelling, or discharge. Early ambulation, or getting the patient out of bed and moving around as soon as possible after surgery, is essential for preventing complications such as deep vein thrombosis (DVT) and pneumonia. DVT is a blood clot that forms in a deep vein, usually in the legs, and can travel to the lungs, causing a pulmonary embolism, a potentially life-threatening condition. Pneumonia is an infection of the lungs that can occur after surgery, especially in patients who are immobile or have weakened immune systems. The multidisciplinary team continued to provide comprehensive care, ensuring that the patient's nutritional needs were met and that any potential complications were promptly addressed. Nutritional support is crucial in the postoperative period, as it helps to promote healing and recovery. Patients who undergo bowel surgery may require intravenous fluids or total parenteral nutrition (TPN) until they are able to tolerate oral intake. The patient's complete resolution of symptoms and absence of tumor recurrence at the 6-month follow-up highlight the effectiveness of the surgical intervention and the importance of long-term surveillance. The positive outcome in this case underscores the potential for successful management of partial obstructive ileus secondary to intra-abdominal tumors when prompt diagnosis, multidisciplinary collaboration, and expert surgical intervention are combined. Long-term surveillance is crucial for patients who have undergone surgery for intra-abdominal tumors, as there is always a risk of recurrence or metastasis. Surveillance may involve regular physical examinations, imaging studies, and blood tests to monitor for any signs of tumor recurrence. The frequency and type of surveillance will vary depending on the type of tumor, the extent of the surgery, and the patient's overall health.[19,20]

4. Conclusion

This case report highlights the successful surgical management of partial obstructive ileus secondary to an intra-abdominal tumor in a 42-year-old woman. The patient presented with abdominal pain, distension, and a two-day history of constipation, and radiographic imaging confirmed partial obstructive ileus with pneumoperitoneum. Initial management focused on hemodynamic stabilization, fluid resuscitation, and nasogastric decompression. Subsequently, an exploratory laparotomy was performed, revealing an intra-abdominal tumor causing intestinal obstruction. Segmental tumor resection was successfully conducted, alleviating the obstruction. This case underscores the importance of considering intra-abdominal tumors in the differential diagnosis of partial obstructive ileus, particularly in patients with nonspecific abdominal symptoms. Timely surgical intervention, including tumor resection, can effectively address the obstruction and improve patient outcomes. The multidisciplinary

approach, involving gastroenterologists, surgeons, radiologists, and pathologists, ensured comprehensive patient care, from accurate diagnosis and effective surgical intervention to meticulous postoperative monitoring and long-term follow-up. The positive outcome in this case highlights the potential for successful management of partial obstructive ileus secondary to intra-abdominal tumors when prompt diagnosis, multidisciplinary collaboration, and expert surgical intervention are combined. Further research is warranted to explore the long-term outcomes and prognostic factors associated with this condition.

5. References

1. Nastos C, Giannoulopoulos D, Georgopoulos I, Salakos C, Dellaportas D, Papaconstantinou I, et al. Large enterolith complicating a Meckel diverticulum causing obstructive ileus in an adolescent male patient. Case Rep Surg. 2017; 2017: 1–3.
2. Cruz-Santiago J, Briceño-Sáenz G, García-Álvarez J, Beristain-Hernández JL. Gallstone ileus presenting as obstructive gangrenous appendicitis. Rev Esp Enferm Dig. 2017; 109(2): 150–1.
3. Sato K, Banshodani M, Nishihara M, Nambu J, Kawaguchi Y, Shimamoto F, et al. Afferent loop obstruction with obstructive jaundice and ileus due to an enterolith after distal gastrectomy: a case report. Int J Surg Case Rep. 2018; 50: 9–12.
4. Sato K, Banshodani M, Nishihara M, Nambu J, Kawaguchi Y, Shimamoto F, et al. Afferent loop obstruction with obstructive jaundice and ileus due to an enterolith after distal gastrectomy: a case report. Int J Surg Case Rep. 2018; 50: 9–12.
5. Ioannidis A, Koutserimpas C, Papatsoris A, Argyrou A, Deliveliotis H, Velimezis G, et al. Monophasic synovial sarcoma as a cause of obstructive ileus: a case report. Mol Clin Oncol. 2019; 10(1): 185–7.
6. Numata K, Yasukawa M, Toda S, Kamioka Y, Suematsu H, Tsuchida K, et al. Short-term comparison between trans-anal ileus tube and self-expandable metallic Stent for obstructive colorectal cancer. Gan To Kagaku Ryoho. 2019; 46(13): 1911–3.
7. Rothe K, Katchanov J, Schneider J, Spinner CD, Phillip V, Busch DH, et al. Strongyloides stercoralis hyperinfection syndrome presenting as mechanical ileus after short-course oral steroids for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) exacerbation. Parasitol Int. 2020; 76(102087): 102087.
8. Kularatna M, Falconer F. Double trouble: a case of gallstone ileus as a result of multiple obstructive gallstones. Case Rep Surg. 2023; 2023: 7423380.
9. Theodorou DJ, Theodorou SJ, Gkogkos V, Ziogas D. The “tumbling gallstone sign” of obstructive gallstone ileus. J Gastrointestin Liver Dis. 2024; 33(2): 158.
10. Triantopoulou C. From headaches to obstructive ileus: following the dots. J Clin Case Stud Rev Rep. 2024; 1–4.
11. Aryan M, Sánchez-Luna SA, Rapaka B, Ahmed A, Peter S, Kabir Baig KK, et al. Endoscopic laser lithotripsy of obstructive colonic gallstone ileus. VideoGIE. 2025; 10(2): 160–2.
12. Ioannidis A, Koutserimpas C, Papatsoris A, Argyrou A, Deliveliotis H, Velimezis G, et al. Monophasic synovial sarcoma as a cause of obstructive ileus: Case report. Mol Clin Oncol. 2018
13. Lee CS, Kim MK. Obstructive ileus caused by metal staples 6 years after the initial surgery: a case report. Medicine (Baltimore). 2018; 97(49): e13426.
14. Ding D, Yao Y, Zhang S. Duodenal mucinous adenocarcinoma presenting as ileus, obstructive jaundice and massive ascites: a case report. Mol Clin Oncol. 2018.

15. Tanaka T, Yahagi K, Okuno T, Horiuchi Y, Kusuhara T, Yokozuka M, et al. Transcatheter aortic valve implantation in a patient with severe aortic valve stenosis, colon cancer, and obstructive ileus: a case report. J Cardiol Cases. 2018; 17(5): 163–6.
16. Narendra MR, Purbayu H. Obstructive ileus secondary to acute mesenteric ischaemia: Internal medicine perspective. Indones J Gastroenterol Hepatol Dig Endosc. 2021; 22(1): 74–8.
17. P. Vrakopoulou GZ, Matiatou MA, Larentzakis AV, Zografos GC, Toutouzas KG. A rare case of obstructive ileus due to ileal pouch torsion: a case report and systematic review. Int Surg J. 2020; 7(5): 1611.
18. Janež J. Acute presentation of the neuroendocrine tumor of the terminal ileum with obstructive ileus – case report. Int J Med Pharm Case Reports. 2017; 10(2): 1–4.
19. Vorobey AV, Makhmudov AM, Bochko VN, Getyuk AV, Lyubetskaya AP. Obstructive tumoral colonic ileus in pregnant. Khirurgiia (Mosc). 2016; (6): 86–9.
20. Christiny C, Putu Rangga Rasnadea Tama I, Agung Gede A, Budhi Kusuma A. Post-appendectomy complication: Peritonitis and abscess due to partial obstructive ileus in a patient with neglected appendicitis. Journal of Comprehensive Science (JCS). 2024; 3(12): 5446–52.